



Course Specification (Bachelor)

Course Title: Functional Programming

Course Code: SE1765

Program: Software Engineering

Department: Software Engineering

College: Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology

Institution: Al-Baha University

Version: V1.0

Last Revision Date: 24-April-2024







Table of Contents

A. General information about the course:	3
B. Course Learning Outcomes (CLOs), Teaching Strategies and Assessment Methods	4
C. Course Content	5
D. Students Assessment Activities	6
E. Learning Resources and Facilities	6
F. Assessment of Course Quality	7
G. Specification Approval	8





A. General information about the course:

1. Course Identification

1. C	1. Credit hours: (3)					
3 Credit Hours (3, 0, 0) (Lecture, Lab, Tutorial) (3 Contact Hours)						
2. Course type						
Α.	□University	□College	🛛 Depa	rtment	□Track	□Others
В.	\Box Required			🛛 Elect	ive	
3. Level/year at which this course is offered: (8 th Level/3 rd Year)						
4. C	ourse General D	Description:				

This course demonstrates the usefulness of mathematics as a tool for software engineering. It introduces students to mathematical topics related to software engineering field. Mainly it emphasizes the concepts of lambda calculus and computational theory and its relation to the typed languages and functional programming languages. Moreover, the course introduces students to explore and practice these mathematical concepts using a functional language for a variety of real-world cases and contexts.

5. Pre-requirements for this course (if any): None

6. Pre-requirements for this course (if any): None

7. Course Main Objective(s):

This course teaches students the most important concepts of lambda calculus and their implementation through typed and functional programming.

2. Teaching mode (mark all that apply)

No	Mode of Instruction	Contact Hours	Percentage
1	Traditional classroom	33	100%
2	E-learning		
3	HybridTraditional classroom		





No	Mode of Instruction	Contact Hours	Percentage
	• E-learning		
4	Distance learning		
5	Other (Lab)		

3. Contact Hours (based on the academic semester)

No	Activity	Contact Hours
1.	Lectures	33
2.	Laboratory/Studio	
3.	Field	
4.	Tutorial	
5.	Others (specify)	
Total		33

B. Course Learning Outcomes (CLOs), Teaching Strategies and Assessment Methods

Code	Course Learning Outcomes	Code of CLOs aligned with program	Teaching Strategies	Assessment Methods
1.0	Knowledge and understa	nding		
1.1	Recognize the concepts of lambda calculus and functional programs	К1	- Lectures	Direct Assessment Tool Midterm Exam Final exam Indirect Assessment Tool Course Exit Survey
1.2	Explain the use of lambda calculus for typed and functional programming languages	К2	- Lectures - Lab Work	Direct Assessment Tool Midterm exam Final exam Indirect Assessment Tool Course Exit Survey
2.0	Chille			





Code	Course Learning Outcomes	Code of CLOs aligned with program	Teaching Strategies	Assessment Methods
2.1	Solve real world problems using lambda calculus	S1	- Lectures - Lab work - Project	Direct Assessment Tool Project (rubric) Final exam Indirect Assessment Tool Course Exit Survey
2.2	Apply functional programming for a variety of contexts	S2	- Lectures - Lab work - Project	Direct Assessment Tool Project (rubric) Final exam Indirect Assessment Tool Course Exit Survey
3.0	Values, autonomy, and r	esponsibility		
3.1	Engage in lifelong learning.	V1	-Small Groups	Direct Assessment Tool Project Presentation (rubric) Indirect Assessment Tool Course Exit Survey

C. Course Content

No	List of Topics (Lectures)	Contact Hours
1.	Introduction to lambda calculus and functional languages	4
2.	Pure lambda calculus	4
3.	Operations and conditional expressions	4
4.	Recursive functions	4
5.	Types and typed representations	3
6.	Lists and linear list processing	4
7.	7. Composite values and Trees	
8.	Functional programming and LISP basics	6
9		
	Total	33

No	Lab Topics	Contact Hours







D. Students Assessment Activities

No	Assessment Activities *	Assessment timing (in week no)	Percentage of Total Assessment Score
1.	Assignment	Weekly	10%
2.	Midterm Exam	6	20%
3.	Final Project and Presentation	11	20%
4.	Final Exam	12	50%

*Assessment Activities (i.e., Written test, oral test, oral presentation, group project, essay, etc.).

E. Learning Resources and Facilities

1. References and Learning Resources

Essential References	Short Introduction to Functional Programming and Lambda- calculus, https://www.dmi.unict.it/barba/FOND-LING-PROG- DISTR/PROGRAMMITESTI/READING- MATERIAL/ShortIntroFPprog-lang.htm
Supportive References	An Introduction to Functional Programming Through Lambda Calculus, Greg Michaelson, (Dover Books on Mathematics), https://www.amazon.com/Introduction-Functional- Programming-CalculusMathematics-ebook- dpB00CWR4USM/dp/B00CWR4USM/ref=mt_other?_encoding= UTF8&me=&qi d=
Electronic Materials	 Access to the Saudi Digital Library (SDL). Using the learning management system of the university – Rafid System (https://lms.bu.edu.sa/).
Other Learning Materials	Nielsen Norman Group: https://www.nngroup.com/

2. Required Facilities and equipment





Items	Resources
facilities (Classrooms, laboratories, exhibition rooms, simulation rooms, etc.)	• A classroom or lecture hall with a whiteboard for 25 students.
Technology equipment (projector, smart board, software)	 A digital image projection system with a connection to a desktop computer and laptop computer. High speed Internet connection
Other equipment (depending on the nature of the specialty)	None

F. Assessment of Course Quality

Assessment Areas/Issues	Assessor	Assessment Methods			
Effectiveness of teaching	 Students Faculty Peer Reviewers Program Leader Course Coordinator 	 Surveys (indirect). Direct feedback from students. Course evaluation by Peer Reviewers (indirect). Class visit by Program Leader (indirect). Comprehensive Course report (where we can find information about teaching difficulties and action plan) 			
Effectiveness of Students assessment	 Students Faculty Peer Reviewers Program Leader Exam Evaluation Committee Course Coordinator 	 Surveys (indirect). Direct feedback from students. Course evaluation by Peer Reviewers (indirect). Class visit by Program Leader (indirect) Exam evaluation by the Exam Evaluation Committee (indirect) 			
Quality of learning resources	 Students Faculty Peer Reviewers Course Coordinator 	 Surveys (indirect) Course evaluation by Peer Reviewers (indirect). Comprehensive Course report (where we can find information about difficulties and challenges about learning resources as well as consequences and action plan) 			
The extent to which CLOs have been achieved	FacultyProgram LeaderCourse Coordinator	 Student Results (direct) Comprehensive Course report (where we can find 			





Assessment Areas/Iss	ues	Assessor		Assessment Methods			
				the resul	CLO ts)	assessment	
Other							
Assessors (Students, Faculty, Program Leaders, Peer Reviewers, Others (specify)							
Assessment Methods (Direct, Indirect)							
G. Specification Approval							
COUNCIL /COMMITTEE	Curriculum Committee						
REFERENCE NO.							
DATE	28 April 2	024					

